Synthesis and Evaluation of Indolizine-Type Inhibitors of N-Acetyl- β -D-Glucosaminidases

by Narendra Panday, Thierry Granier, and Andrea Vasella*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Zentrum, Universitätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Zürich

To check if the strong inhibition of *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase by the tetrazole **8** and the imidazoles **9** and **10** correlates with the presence of a heteroatom corresponding to the glycosidic O-atom, we prepared the GlcNAc-derived pyrroles (tetrahydroindolizines) **18**, **19**, **27**, **28**, **34**, and **35**, lacking such a heteroatom. For this, the glucose-derived pyrroles **11–13** were treated with a *Lewis* acid in the presence of trimethylsilyl azide. Conditions of kinetic control favored the formation of the gluco-azides **14**, **23**, and **30**, while thermodynamic control favoured the *manno*-azides **20**, **29**, and **36**. Reduction of the azides **14**, **20**, **23**, **30**, and **36** by Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis or, better, with propanedithiol and Et₃N, followed by acetylation or trifluoroacetylation and hydrogenolytic debenzylation, gave the deprotected acetamido- and trifluoroacetamido-pyrroles **18**, **19**, **22**, **27**, **28**, **34**, **35**, **40**, and **41**. As compared to the tetrazole **8** and the imidazole **9**, the pyrroles **18**, **19**, **27**, **28**, **34**, and **35** are only modest inhibitors of *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from bovine kidney (K_i values between 10 and $160 \, \mu$ M for the inhibition of *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from jack beans were determined for the pyrroles **19**, **34**, and **35**. The trifluoroacetamides inhibited both enzymes about twice as strongly as the corresponding acetamides.

Introduction. – There is good evidence that the catalytically active carboxy group of lysozyme does not protonate the glycosidic O-atom from above, *i.e.*, perpendicularly to the plane of the pyranosidic ring, as depicted in *Koshland*'s mechanism [1]. Rather, this protonation occurs 'laterally', *i.e.*, in the plane of the pyranosidic ring [2], as deduced from an X-ray structure of lysozyme in complex with the trisaccharide 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-muramic acid- β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucose- β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-muramic acid [3]. The lateral orientation of the protonating carboxy group appears also to be a feature of several retaining β -glycosidases, as deduced from a comparison of inhibitors either possessing an sp²-hybridized heteroatom corresponding to the glycosidic O-atom or not [4], and from the X-ray structure of an endocellulase from *Acidothermus cellulolyticus* in complex with cellotetraose [5]. Thus, the tetrazole 1 [6], the triazole 2 [7], and the imidazole 3 [8] are good inhibitors of β -glycosidases, while the triazole 4 [4] and the pyrroles 5-7 [9] are not (*Fig. 1*).

Although the *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from bovine kidney is strongly inhibited by the tetrazole **8** [10] and the imidazoles **9** [8] and **10** [11] (*Fig. 2*), this finding is not considered sufficient evidence for or against a lateral protonation of the substrate by this enzyme¹) as long as analogues lacking a heteroatom at the glycosidic position have not

¹) This hexosaminidase and the above mentioned β -glycosidases belong to different families according to *Henrissat*'s classification of glycosyl hydrolases [12][13]. An extrapolation of the results observed with β -glycosidases to hexosaminidases appears particularly problematic in view of the reaction mechanism of these enzymes, differing considerably with regard to the catalytic nucleophile [14] [15].



Fig. 1. K_i Values of gluco-configurated tetrahydropyridoazoles against sweet-almonds β -glucosidases

been tested. As the pyrroles (tetrahydroindolizines) 11-13 are readily available²), we considered to transform them into the corresponding *N*-acetylglucosamine derivatives. A similar transformation has been described by *Tatsuta et al.* [18], who converted analogous imidazopyridines into the corresponding azides by substituting the HO-C(8) group under *Mitsunobu* conditions. Presumably, this reaction proceeds by an elimination/addition; hence, acid promoted elimination of a C(8)-(benzyloxy) group of the tetrahydroindolizines 11-13 in the presence of a suitable azide donor should also lead to the desired products and obviate the necessity of a selective protection.



Fig. 2. K_i and IC_{50} Values of three strong tetrahydropyridazole-type hexosaminidase inhibitors against N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from boyine kidney

The acetamido group is expected to contribute significantly to the binding of inhibitors to N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidases³). This contribution is due to H-donation from the N-H, H-bonding to the carbonyl O-atom, and to hydrophobic interactions involving the Me moiety. Several examples are known where the enhanced acidity of a trifluoroacetamido function (as compared to the one of an acetamido group) leads to stronger binding. N-(Trifluoroacetyl)- α -D-glucosamine binds about twice as well to

²) We have prepared these compounds from the 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-gluconolactam [7][16][17] in three steps, the key step being a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of a *Münchnone* to dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate or methyl propiolate [9]. This procedure yields 11 in 91% and 12/13 (4:3) in 73% overall yield from the lactam.

³) The contribution of the acetamido group to the binding of N-acetylglucal to N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from jack beans has been estimated to 4.2 kcal/mol [19].

lysozyme than N-acetyl- α -D-glucosamine [20]. The trifluoroacetamido analogue of N-acetyl-2,3-didehydro-2-deoxyneuraminic acid inhibits the neuraminidase from Vibrio cholerae 12 times better than the parent compound [21]. Similarly, the trifluoroacetamido analogue of siastatin B inhibits β -glucuronidase from bovine liver 2400 times more strongly than the acetamido analogue [22]. To the best of our knowledge, the effect of an analogous substitution has not been investigated for inhibitors of N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidases.

Synthesis. – Treatment of the diester 11 (*Scheme 1*) with trimethylsilyl azide and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 at $-7^{\circ 4}$) gave a *ca.* 3:1 mixture⁵) of the azides 14/20 (82%), from which the *gluco*-configurated azide 14 was isolated in 75% yield by flash chromatography. Running the reaction in toluene between 23 and 90° led to a 1:4 mixture 14/20 (85%), from which the *manno*-configurated azide 20 was isolated in 65% yield.

Treatment of the more reactive monoester 12 with trimethylsilyl azide and BF₃ · OEt₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at -78° gave a 2:3 mixture of the *gluco*- and *manno*-azides 23/29 (76%). In the presence of the milder *Lewis* acids TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ or ZnCl₂ in MeCN at 0°, however, we obtained a 4:1 mixture 23/29 (81%). Similarly, treatment of the regioisomeric monoester 13 with trimethylsilyl azide and TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ in MeCN or CH₂Cl₂ at 0° yielded 86% of a 7:3 mixture of the *gluco*- and *manno*-azides 30/36 that were separated by HPLC.

Depending on the *Lewis* acid, solvent, temperature, and reaction time, different ratios of the *gluco*- and *manno*-azides were obtained; longer reaction times and higher temperatures leading predominantly to the *manno*-configurated azides **20**, **29**, and **36**, while shorter reaction times and lower temperatures gave mainly the *gluco*-configurated azides **14**, **23**, and **30** (*cf. Table 1*). This result suggests that the *gluco*-azides are formed under kinetic control, that the *manno*-epimers are more stable and that they equilibrate under harsher conditions. This was confirmed by treating the pure *gluco*-azides **14**, **23**, and **30** and their *manno*-analogues **20**, **29**, and **36** with BF₃ · OEt₂ in the presence of trimethylsilyl azide at 23°. Under these conditions, as shown by monitoring the reaction in CD₂Cl₂ by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, the equilibrium for **23/29** and **30/36** was reached whithin a few minutes, and for the less reactive diester pair **14/20** after 64 h. The *manno*-azides are favoured, with solvent-dependent *gluco/manno* ratios of 1:7 for **14/20**, between 1:9 and 1:11 for **23/29**, and between 1:3 and 3:7 for **30/36** (*Table 2*).

Under the conditions tested, the diastereoselectivities are not high and – as far as kinetic control operates – presumably the result of a stereoelectronic control, *viz.* a (pseudo)axial attack on the *bona fide* intermediates, as illustrated for the transformation of 12 *via* the azoniafulvene⁶) A (*Scheme 2*) to 23 and 29.

Comparison of the equilibrium ratios in *Table 2* shows that the energy difference between the *gluco*- and *manno*-configurated azides is higher for the C(1)-substituted pyrroles 14/20 and 23/29, than for the C(1)-unsubstituted pyrroles 30/36, reflecting the unfavourable 1,5-interaction between the COOMe substituent at C(1) and the N₃ group

⁴) Running the reaction at lower temperatures considerably protracted the reaction without modifying the ratio **14/20**.

⁵) As determined by integration of the H-C(8) signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the crude.

⁶) Azoniafulvene intermediates are known. Leading references are given in [18] and [23-46].







a) Trimethylsilyl azide, BF₃ · OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, −7°; **14/20** 3:1, 82%. b) Trimethylsilyl azide, BF₃ · OEt₂, toluene, 90°; **14/20** 1:4, 85%. c) Trimethylsilyl azide, TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ or ZnCl₂, MeCN, 0°; **23/29** 4:1, 81%. d) Trimethylsilyl azide, BF₃ · OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, −78°; **23/29** 2:3, 76%; **30/36** 1:2, 85%. e) Trimethylsilyl azide, TiCl(OⁱPr)₃, MeCN or CH₂Cl₂, 0°; **30/36** 7:3, 81–86%. f) HS(CH₂)₃SH, Et₃N, MeOH, 23°; **15**, 99%; **24**, 97%; **31**, 95%; **37**, 93%. g) 1. H₂, Pd/C, AcOEt, MeOH. 2. Ac₂O, pyridine; **16**, 70% from **14**; **21**, 70%. h) Ac₂O, pyridine; **16**, 97%; **25**, 98%; **32**, 97%; **38**, 97%. i) (CF₃O)₂O, pyridine; **17**, 95%; **26**, 98%; **33**, 95%; **39**, 89%. k) H₂, 10% Pd/C; **18**, 70%; **19**, 83%; **22**, 72%; **27**, 88%; **28**, 84%; **34**, 92%; **35**, 80%; **40**, 88%; **41**, 93%.

at C(8) that forces the *gluco*-azides 14 and 23 into a somewhat distorted ${}^{5,8}B$ -conformation.

The protected acetamido-pyrroles 16 and 21 were obtained from 14 and 20, respectively, by Pd-catalyzed reduction of the N₃ group and acetylation (70%). To improve the yields, we reduced 14 with propane-1,3-dithiol and Et_3N [47]. This yielded 99% of the

Starting material	Lewis acid	Solvent	Temperature [°]	Reaction time [min]	gluco/manno
11	BF ₃ · OEt ₂	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-7	210	3:1
11	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	toluene	90	15	1:4
12	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH,Cl,	78	15	2:3
12	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH,Cl,	0	15	1:7
12	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₂ Cl ₂	23	15	1:10
12	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	MeCN	0	560	4:1
12	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	MeCN	-10	720	4:1 ^a)
12	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	CH,Cl,	0	480	7:3
12	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-10	560	7:3 ^a)
12	ZnCl,	MeCN	-10	560	5:1 ^a)
12	ZnCl,	MeCN	0	480	4:1
12	ZnCl,	MeCN	23	480	4:3
12	ZnCl ₂	CH ₂ Cl ₂	- 10	240	7:3 ^a)
12	ZnCl ₂	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-10	480	3:2 ^a)
12	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH ₂ Cl ₂	- 78	30	2:3
13	$BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$	CH,Cl,	23	15	3:7
13	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	CH,CI,	0	240	7:3
13	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	MeCN	-10	530	7:3
13	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr) ₃	MeCN	0	240	7:3
13	TiCl(O ⁱ Pr),	MeCN	23	240	3:5

Table 1. Ratio of gluco/manno-8-Azidopyrrolopyridines Depending on the Reaction Conditions as Determined by ${}^{1}H$ -NMR Spectroscopy

Table 2. Equilibration of 8-Azidopyrrolopyridines with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in the Presence of Trimethylsilyl Azide at 23°

Starting material	Solvent	gluco/manno
14 or 20	CD,Cl, or CH ₂ Cl,	1:7
23 or 29	CD,Cl, or CH,Cl,	1:10
23 or 29	MeCN	1:9
23 or 29	toluene	1:11
30 or 36	CD ₂ Cl ₂ or CH ₂ Cl ₂	3:7
30 or 36	MeCN	1:3
30 or 36	toluene	2:5





amine 15. The same method was applied to the azides 23, 30, and 36 and led to the corresponding amines 24, 31, and 37 in yields between 93 and 99%. Acetylation of the amines 15, 24, 31, and 37 gave the protected acetamido derivatives 16, 25, 32, and 38 in over 95% yield. Similarly, treatment of the amines 15, 24, 31, and 37 with trifluoroacetic anhydride in pyridine at 0° [48] led to the protected trifluoroacetamido-analogues 17, 26, 33, and 39 in yields between 89 and 98%. Hydrogenolytic debenzylation of the acetamides 16, 21, 25, 32, and 38 and trifluoroacetamides 17, 26, 33, and 39 gave the crystalline target compounds 18, 19, 22, 27, 28, 34, 35, 40, and 41 in yields between 70 and 93%.

The azides 14, 20, 23, 29, 30, and 36 are characterized by a strong IR band at 2103-2105 cm⁻¹. The CD spectra allow to distinguish two sets of diastereoisomers: the gluco-configurated azides 14, 23, and 30, showing negative molar ellipticities at 262, 264, and 261 nm, respectively, and the corresponding manno-azides 20, 29, and 36, showing positive ellipticities. The assignment of the configuration of the azides and their protected derivatives is based on a NOE experiment (NOE for the H-C(6) signal of 30 – but not of 36 – upon irradiation of H-C(8)) and a comparison of the ¹H-NMR data of the deprotected acetamido-tetrahydroindolizines with those of the known acetamido-tetrahydrotetrazolopyridines [49]. In D₂O solution, the piperidine ring of the deprotected acetamides 18, 19, 22, 27, 28, 34, 35, 40, and 41 adopts predominantly a $^{7}H_{6}$ conformation, as evidenced by rather large J(5,6) and J(6,7) values. The assignment of the gluco-configuration to 18, 19, 27, 28, 34, and 35 is based on the J(7,8) values (6.2-10.0 Hz), as is the assignment of the manno-configuration to 22, 40, and 41 (J(7,8) = 3.2-4.1 Hz). The J(5,6), J(6,7), and J(7,8) values decrease from ca. 9.3–10 Hz for the acetamido/trifluoroacetamido pair 34/35 via 8.5–9.0 Hz for 18/19 to 6.2–8.0 Hz for 27/28, indicating the increasing population of the $^{6}H_{7}$ conformation⁷). This is rationalized by a destabilizing 1,5-interaction between the acetamido group and the COOMe group at C(1) in 18/19 and 27/28, weaker in the former pair, presumably because the additional COOMe group prevents coplanarity of the π system of the ester moiety at C(1) with the π system of the pyrrole moiety, as suggested by force-field calculations. The J values of the manno-amide 40 agree well with an approximate ${}^{7}E$ conformation. The conformation of 22 and 41 could not be determined due to signal overlapping. The 1,5-interaction between the N₃ and the COOMe group strongly influences the conformational equilibrium of the protected azides in apolar solvents. Whereas the manno-azides 20 and 29 and the C(1)-unsubstituted gluco-azide 30 possess a ⁷ H_6 conformation, as indicated by the rather large values for J(5,6), J(6,7), and J(7,8) (7.0–9.4 Hz), the medium values for J(5,6), J(6,7), and J(7,8) of the C(1)-carboxylated gluco-azides 14 and 23 indicate an equilibirium between the ${}^{6}H_{7}$ - and ${}^{5.8}B$ -conformers⁷). Again, the C(1)-substituted monocarboxylate 23 (J values of 2.5-4.1 Hz) shows a stronger preference for the ${}^{6}H_{7}$ -conformation than the dicarboxylate 20 (J values of 3.8–5.9 Hz). The equilibrium ratios, in CD₂Cl₂ at 23°, of 14/20 (1:7) and 23/29 (1:10), respectively (cf. Table 2), agree well with the presumed weaker 1,5-interaction in 14 than in 23. The ^{5,8}B-conformation of the manno-azide 36, not disfavoured by an 1,5-interaction, contributes to the conformational equilibrium as indicated by the values for J(5,6)and J(6,7) of 8.3 and 6.6 Hz, respectively. In apolar solvents, the conformation of the amines 15, 24, 31, and 37, and of the protected amides 16, 17, 25, 26, 32, and 33 may additionally be influenced by an intramolecular H-bond. The difference between the chemical shifts for H-C(5), H-C(6), and H-C(7) of 15 and 24, and those of the corresponding azides 14 and 23 suggests a conformational change; an intramolecular H-bond between the amino group and the C(1)-carbonyl group may now favour the ${}^{7}H_{6}$ -conformer. Unfortunately, signal overlapping prevents the determination of the conformation of the amines 15 and 24. The C(1)-unsubstituted amines 31 and 37 adopt a similar conformation as the corresponding azides 30 and 36, reflecting the absence of such a H-bond. In apolar solvents, the small values for J(5,6), J(6,7), and J(7,8) indicate a ⁶ H_7 -conformation for the protected gluco-configurated acetamides 16, 17, 26, 32, and 33. This conformation is stabilized by an intramolecular H-bond between the N-H and O-C(6), as it has already been observed for the corresponding gluco-configurated tetrahydrotetrazolopyridine [10] [49]. The conformation of the gluco-acetamide 25 could not be determined, due to the isochronicity of the H-N and H-C(8) signals, on the one hand, and of the H-C(6) and H-C(7) signals, on the other hand (cf. Exper. Part). The J values indicate that the C(1)-unsubstituted manno-configurated acetamides 38 and 39 adopt a $B_{5,8}$ conformation. Force-field calculations suggest that this conformer is stabilized by an intramolecular H-bond between the N-H and $O-CH_2-C(5)$. Similarly as its deprotected derivative, the protected 1,2-disubstituted acetamide 21 adopts a ⁷E-conformation, as reflected by the somewhat larger values of

⁷) Force-field calculations (Macromodel V.4.5, MM3* force field, gas phase [50]) suggest J(5,6), J(6,7), and J(7,8) values of 10.1, 9.7, and 10.0 Hz for the ⁷H₆, of 1.2, 4.2, and 1.9 Hz for the ⁶H₇, and of 10.5, 4.8, and 0.9 Hz for the ^{5,8}B-conformation.

J(5,6) and J(6,7). As a rule, the H-C(8) signal of the manno-tetrahydroindolizines is shifted to lower field by 0.17 to 0.58 ppm as compared to the one of the corresponding gluco-tetrahydroindolizines.

Enzymatic Tests. – The trihydroxy compounds **18**, **19**, **27**, **28**, **34**, and **35** were tested against the *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from bovine kidney, and **19**, **34**, and **35** also against the *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase from jack beans (*Table 3*). The large K_i values of these pyrroles, as compared to the K_i value of the tetrazole **8** [10], and the *IC*₅₀ values of the imidazoles **9** [8] and **10** [11] (*cf. Fig. 2*) indicate clearly that a heteroatom, corresponding to the glycosidic O-atom, is required for strong inhibition. Comparison of the K_i value of the tetrazole **8** with the *IC*₅₀ value of the imidazoles **9**⁸ suggests that a higher basicity favours a stronger binding, similarly to what has been observed for β -glycosidases⁹).

Hexosaminidase	Inhibitor	<i>К</i> _і [µм]	
Bovine kidney	18	75	
Bovine kidney	19	35	
Bovine kidney	27	20	
Bovine kidney	28	13	
Bovine kidney	4	19	
Bovine kidney	5	10	
ack beans	19	140	
ack beans	4	160	
ack beans	5	100	

Table 3. K_i Values of Pyrroles Determined at pH 4.2 and 37°

The tested enzymes are inhibited about twice as strongly by the trifluoroacetamido analogues than by the acetamides. The slightly stronger inhibition observed may well be the result of the compensating influences of H-donation, H-acceptance, and hydrophobic interactions.

We thank T. Mäder for the HPLC separation of 23 and 24, Dr. B. Bernet for his contribution to the conformation analyses and the Swiss National Science Foundation and F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Oxford Glycosciences Ldt., Abingdon (UK) for generous support.

Experimental Part

General. Solvents were distilled before use. Normal workup implies distribution of the crude product between CH_2Cl_2 and sat. aq. NH_4Cl soln. and ice, unless indicated otherwise, drying of the org. layer (MgSO₄), filtration, and evaporation of the filtrate. TLC: Merck silica gel 60F-254 plates; detection by heating with 'mostain' (400 ml of 10% H_2SO_4 soln., 20 g of $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 6 H_2O$, 0.4 g of $Ce(SO_4)_2$). M.p.: uncorrected. Flash chromatography (FC): silica gel Merck 60 (0.04–0.063 mm). HPLC: Spherisorb SW 5 µm. Optical rotations: 1-dm cell. UV spectra (λ_{max} in nm (log ε)): 1-cm quartz cell. CD Spectra (λ_{max} in nm (molar ellipticity [0] in deg · cm² · dmol⁻¹)) were recorded with a JASCO-J-710 spectropolarimeter. IR Spectra: KBr or 3% CHCl₃ soln. NMR Spectra: ¹H at 300 MHz, if not indicated otherwise: ¹⁹F at 282 MHz; ¹³C at 75 MHz, if not indicated otherwise; chemical shifts δ in ppm and coupling constants J in Hz. FAB- and CI-MS: 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol and NH₃ as matrix, resp., unless indicated otherwise.

⁸) The K_i value of **8** has been measured at 37° and pH 4.1. Although the IC_{50} have been determined at 37° pH 5.0 [8], the difference between the inhibitor potency of **8**, on the one hand, and of **9** and **10**, on the other hand, is significant.

 $^{^{9}}$) To confirm this hypothesis, the GlcNAc analogue of the triazole 2 should be prepared and tested.

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)- and (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Azido-6.7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6.7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (14 and 20, resp.). a) At -70° , a soln. of 11 (1.309 g, 1.94 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (0.9 ml, 6.8 mmol) and BF₃ · OEt₂ (0.5 ml, 4.0 mmol) and kept for 210 min at -7° . The mixture was cooled to -78° and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 14/15 3:1, ¹H-NMR) and FC (hexane/Et₂O 3:1 \rightarrow 2:1) gave 14 (922 mg, 78%) and 14/20 2:1 (50 mg, 4%).

b) A soln. of 11 (454.4 mg, 0.67 mmol) in toluene (20 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (0.5 ml, 3.8 mmol) and BF₃ · OEt₂ (0.25 ml, 2.0 mmol), kept for 4 h at 23°, heated to 90° for 15 min, cooled to 23°, and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 14/15 1:4, ¹H-NMR) and FC (hexane/Et₂O 3:1 \rightarrow 2:1) gave 14 (52.3 mg, 13%), 14/20 1:1 (27.8 mg, 7%) and 20 (266.4 mg, 65%).

Data of 14: R_t (hexane/Et₂O 3:1) 0.15. UV (CHCl₃): 259 (3.8). CD (CHCl₃): 262 (-27110), 306 (627). IR (CHCl₃): 3090w, 3008m, 2985m, 2963m, 2929w, 2871m, 2106s, 1730s, 1571w, 1528m, 1497m, 1454m, 1374m, 1265s, 1158m, 1098s, 1070s, 1046m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.72 (dd, J = 6.0, 10.3, HC-C(5)); 3.81 (dd, J = 3.8, 10.6, HC-C(5)); 3.83 (s, MeO); 3.88 (t, J = 5.9, irrad. at 4.24 \rightarrow d, $J \approx 6.0, \text{H}-\text{C}(6)$); 3.90 (s, MeO); 3.95 (dd, J = 5.4, 3.8, H-C(7)); 4.24 (dt, J = 6.0, 3.8, H-C(5)); 4.42 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 4.48 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.49 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH); 4.62 (d, J = 11.4, PhCH); 4.72 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.73 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH); 5.15 (d, J = 3.8, H-C(8)); 7.19–7.38 (m, 15 arom. H, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 51.58 (q, MeO); 51.94 (q, MeO); 55.74 (d, C(8)); 58.93 (d, C(5)); 68.86 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 73.10 (t, PhCH₂); 73.21 (t, PhCH₂); 73.36 (t, PhCH₂); 74.72, 78.20 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 115.08, 116.07 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 126.17 (d, C(3)); 127.00–128.82 (several d); 129.31 (s, C(8a)); 136.94 (s): 137.05 (s); 137.12 (s); 163.85 (s, CO₂).

Data of **20**: R_t (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.11. UV (CHCl₃): 259 (3.8). CD (CHCl₃): 265 (10580), 307 (-674). IR (CHCl₃): 3089w, 3008m, 2985m, 2963m, 2939w, 2871m, 2106s, 1728s, 1571w, 1527m, 1497m, 1454m, 1374m, 1265s, 1158m, 1098s, 1070s, 1046m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.62 (dd, J = 10.3, 5.8, HC-C(5)); 3.74 (dd, J = 10.2, 2.9, HC-C(5)); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.85 (dd, $J = 9.4, 3.7, \text{ irrad. at } 5.45 \rightarrow d, J \approx 9.4, \text{H}-\text{C}(7)$); 3.87 (s, MeO); 4.03 (ddd, J = 8.0, 5.9, 2.8, H-C(5)); 4.12 (dd, J = 9.2, 7.8, H-C(6)); 4.45 (s, PhCH₂); 4.57 (d, J = 11.1, PhCH); 4.77 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.84 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH); 4.96 (d, J = 11.0, PhCH); 5.45 (d, J = 3.7, H-C(8)); 7.21–7.44 (m, 15 arom. H, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 51.66 (q, MeO); 51.75 (q, MeO); 54.21 (d, C(8)); 61.30 (d, C(5)); 69.61 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.63 (t, PhCH₂); 73.24 (t, PhCH₂); 75.23 (t, PhCH₂); 73.30, 79.15 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 113.43, 117.05 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 126.31 (d, C(3)); 127.87–128.64 (several d); 131.57 (s, C(8a)); 137.17 (s); 137.27 (s); 137.62 (s); 163.85 (s, CO₂); 164.07 (s, CO₂).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Amino-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (15). A soln. of 14 (150 mg, 0.246 mmol) in MeOH (8 ml) was treated with Et₃N (0.8 ml) and propane-1,3-dithiol (0.8 ml) and stirred for 38 h at 23°. After addition of CH₂Cl₂ and ice, the mixture was poured into 0.1M NaOH. Separation of the org. layer, extraction of the aq. layer with CH₂Cl₂, drying (MgSO₄) of the combined org. layers, filtration, evaporation, and FC (AcOEt/hexane 2:1 → 10:1) gave 15 (142 mg, 99%). *R*_f (AcOEt) 0.40. IR (CHCl₃): 3380w, 3090w, 3008m, 2950m, 2868m, 1724s, 1685s, 1577w, 1523m, 1497w, 1454m, 1443m, 1399w, 1365w, 1286m, 1176w, 1097s, 1073s, 1028w, 909s, 651m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 2.20 (br. s, NH₂); 3.68 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.0, HC−C(5)); 3.73 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.4, HC−C(5)); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.86 (s, MeO); 4.06−4.11 (m, H−C(6), H−C(7)); 4.39 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.39−4.46 (m, H−C(5), H−C(8)); 4.46 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.52 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.56 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.56 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.65 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 7.20− 7.39 (m, 15 arom. H, H−C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 47.07 (d, C(8)); 51.61 (q, MeO); 59.23 (d, C(5)); 72.19 (t, CH₂−C(5)); 72.38 (t, PhCH₂); 72.59 (t, PhCH₂); 73.82, 76.42 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 111.74, 116.43 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 126.13 (d, C(3)); 127.75−128.85 (several d); 137.19 (s); 137.74 (s); 137.80 (s); 139.58 (s); 164.84 (s, CO₂); 165.60 (s, CO₂).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-6.7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6.7.8-tetrahydroindolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (16). a) A soln. of 14 (65 mg, 0.106 mmol) in AcOEt/MeOH 6:1 (3.5 ml) was treated with 10% Pd/C (4.5 mg), hydrogenated at 1 bar for 24 h at 23°, and filtered through Celite. After evaporation, the residue was dried *i.v.*, dissolved in pyridine (2 ml), treated with Ac_2O (2 ml) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP; 5.5 mg, 0.045 mmol) and stirred for 4 h at 23°. Evaporation and FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) gave 16 (46.4 mg, 70%) as an oil.

b) A soln. of **15** (10 mg, 0.0017 mmol) in pyridine (0.25 ml) and Ac₂O (0.1 ml) was stirred at 23° for 2 h. Evaporation and FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) gave **16** (12 mg, 97%). Colourless solid. R_f (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) 0.15. UV (CHCl₃): 259 (3.8). CD (CHCl₃): 265 (-4777). IR (CHCl₃): 3441*m*, 3068*w*, 3008*w*, 2951*m*, 2869*m*, 1728*s*, 1670*s*, 1524*m*, 1449*m*, 1445*m*, 1440*m*, 1400*w*, 1368*w*, 1340*w*, 1290*m*, 1248*m*, 1097*s*, 1074*s*. ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): 1.53 (*s*, AcN); 3.47 (*dd*, J = 9.7, 7.4, irrad. at 4.34 \rightarrow *d*, $J \approx 9.8$, HC-C(5)); 3.55 (*dd*, J = 5.3, 9.7, irrad. at 4.34 \rightarrow *d*, $J \approx 10.0$, HC-C(5)); 3.59 (*s*, MeO); 3.67 (*s*, MeO); 3.79 (*dd*, J = 2.3, 3.6, irrad. at 4.06 \rightarrow *d*, $J \approx 2.0$, irrad. at 4.34 \rightarrow *d*, $J \approx 4.1$, H-C(6)); 4.04 (*d*, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.05-4.07 (*m*, irrad. at 6.07 \rightarrow *d*, $J \approx 3.7$,

H-C(7)); 4.12 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH); 4.13 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.17 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.34 (ddd, J = 2.4, 5.2, 7.2, H-C(5)); 4.65 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.76 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 5.76 (d, J = 8.1, irrad. at 6.07 \rightarrow s, NH); 6.07 (dd, J = 1.7, 8.0, irrad. at 4.06 \rightarrow d, $J \approx 8.1$, H-C(8)); 6.99-7.21 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.44 (s, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 22.97 (q, COMe); 43.55 (d, C(8)); 51.60 (q, MeO); 51.82 (q, MeO); 59.19 (d, C(5)); 71.90 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.16 (t, PhCH₂); 72.28 (t, PhCH₂); 73.52 (t, PhCH₂); 73.81, 74.06 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 114.16, 116.43 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 126.69 (d, C(3)); 127.57-128.71 (several d); 130.28 (s, C(8a)); 136.75 (s); 137.43 (s); 137.50 (s); 164.20 (s, CO₂); 164.27 (s, CO₂); 168.23 (s, NHCOMe).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Acetamido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (21). A soln. of 20 (266 mg, 0.44 mmol) in AcOEt/MeOH 5:1 (12 ml) was treated with 10% Pd/C (9.3 mg), hydrogenated at 1 bar for 31 h, and filtered through Celite. After evaporation, the residue was dried i.v., dissolved in pyridine (2 ml), treated with Ac₂O (2 ml) and DMAP (10 mg, 0.082 mmol), stirred for 6 h at 25°, and evaporated. FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) gave 21 (191 mg, 70%). Rf (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) 0.15. UV (CHCl₃): 258 (3.9). IR (CHCl3): 3392m, 3090w, 3067w, 3008m, 2952m, 2870m, 1716s, 1676s, 1530w, 1498m, 1454m, 1440m, 1400w, 1370m, 1297m, 1248m, 1174m, 1155w, 1075s, 1028w, 913w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.54 (s, Ac); 3.59 $(dd, J = 3.2, 9.8, \text{ irrad. at } 4.21 \rightarrow d, J \approx 10.0, \text{ HC} - \text{C}(5)); 3.70 (dd, J = 3.1, 10.2, \text{ irrad. at } 4.21 \rightarrow d, J \approx 10.0, \text{ irrad. at } 4.21 \rightarrow$ HC-C(5)); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.84 (s, MeO); 3.85-3.87 (m, H-C(7)); 4.09 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.4, irrad. at $4.21 \rightarrow d, J \approx 8.6$, irrad. at $3.86 \rightarrow d, J \approx 4.3$, H-C(6)); 4.19-4.23 (m, H-C(5)); 4.30 (s, PhCH₂); 4.53(d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 4.62 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.86 (d, J = 11.1, PhCH); 4.88 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 5.99 (br. s, NH, H-C(8)); 7.11-7.41 (m, 15 arom. H, H-C(3)). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃ + 5% CD₃OD): 1.56 (s, Ac); 3.59 (dd, J = 3.3, 10.0, HC - C(5)); 3.70 (dd, J = 3.2, 9.8, HC - C(5)); 3.79 (s, MeO); 3.81 (s, MeO); 3.83 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.2); 3.81 (s, MeO); 35.2, H-C(7); 4.04 (dd, J = 5.2, 8.7, H-C(6)); 4.13-4.17 (m, H-C(5)); 4.29 (s, $PhCH_2$); 4.52 (d, J = 11.1, PhCH); 4.58 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH); 4.83 (d, J = 11.1, PhCH); 4.85 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 5.96 (dd, J = 4.1, 9.2, addn. of $CD_3OD \rightarrow d, J \approx 4.0, H-C(8)$; 6.34 (d, J = 9.3, slow exchange with CD_3OD, NH); 7.11-7.41 (m, 15 arom. H, H-C(3)). ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): 1.44 (s, Ac); 3.27-3.39 (br. s, CH₂-C(5)); 3.59 (s, MeO); 3.66 $(dd, J = 4.1, 8.7, \text{ irrad. at } 6.32 \rightarrow d, J \approx 8.7, H-C(7)); 3.75 (s, MeO); 3.79-3.86 (br. s, H-C(5)); 3.90$ (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 3.94 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.02 $(dd, J = 5.7, 8.6 \text{ irrad. at } 3.66 \rightarrow d, J \approx 5.3, \text{ irrad. at } 3.66 \rightarrow d, J \approx 5.36 \rightarrow d, J \approx 5$ $3.83 \rightarrow d, J \approx 8.4, H-C(6)$; 4.35 (d, J = 11.0, PhCH); 4.46 (d, J = 11.9, PhCH); 4.80 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.98 = 11.8, PhCH; (d, J = 10.9, PhCH); 6.10-6.12 (br. s, NH); 6.32 (dd, J = 4.1, 9.0, irrad. at 3.66 $\rightarrow d, J \approx 9.0, H-C(8)$); 6.95-7.38 (m, 15 arom. H, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 22.86 (q, COMe); 41.96 (d, C(8)); 51.61 (q, MeO); 52.01 (q, MeO); 60.91 (d, C(5)); 71.64 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.19 (t, PhCH₂); 73.91 (t, PhCH₂); 74.09 (t, PhCH₂); 73.14, 77.70 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 113.20, 116.92 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 124.35 (d, C(3)); 127.57-128.79 (several d); 132.40 (s, C(8a)); 136.53 (s); 137.56 (s); 137.83 (s); 164.63 (s, CO₂); 164.09 (s, CO₂); 169.09 (s, NHCO).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-6,7-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-8-(trifluoroacetamido)indolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (17). At 5°, a soln. of 15 (120 mg, 0.217 mmol) in pyridine (7 ml) was treated with (CF₃CO)₂O (0.1 ml), kept for 2 h at 5° and treated with sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup and FC (hexane/ AcOEt 3:1) of the crude gave 17 (108 mg, 95%). White solid. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.21. UV (CHCl₃): 277 (4.1). IR (CHCl₃): 3423m, 3007m, 2952m, 2870m, 1724s, 1526s, 1455m, 1442m, 1397w, 1364w, 1290s, 1172s, 1073s, 1028w, 909w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.71-3.75 (m, H₂C-C(5)); 3.78 (s, MeO); 3.84 (s, MeO); 3.89 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.9, irrad. at 4.48 → d, J ≈ 4.0, H-C(6)); 4.05 (d, J = 4.4, H-C(7)); 4.42 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.47 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.49 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.46-4.50 (m, H-C(5)); 4.54 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 4.59 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.79 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 5.76 (br. d, J = 8.4, 1.0, addn. of CD₃OD → br. s, H-C(8)); 6.83 (d, J = 8.7, exchange with CD₃OD, H-N); 7.13-7.41 (m, 15 arom. H, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 43.73 (d, C(8)); 51.82 (q, MeO); 51.93 (q, MeO); 58.90 (d, C(5)); 71.88 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.66 (t, PhCH₂); 73.69 (br. t, 2 PhCH₂); 73.33, 74.11 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 114.99, 117.19 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 126.79 (d, C(3)); 127.42-129.00 (several d and 1 s for C(8a)); 136.33 (s); 137.09 (s); 137.56 (s); 155.80 (q, J = 37.6, COCF₃); 163.96 (s, CO₂); 164.38 (s, CO₂). ¹⁹F-NMR (CDCl₃): -75.84. EI-MS: 680 (0.1, M⁺), 649 (1, [M - MeO]⁺), 572 (3, [M - BnOH]⁺), 313 (13), 91 (100, C₇H⁺).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-5,6,7.8-tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) indolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (18). A soln. of 16 (251 mg, 0.40 mmol) in AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 4:4:1 (4.5 ml) was treated with AcOH (0.1 ml) and 10% Pd/C (170 mg) and hydrogenated for 31 h at 7 bar. Filtration through Celite, evaporation, and crystallization from MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ gave 18 (62 mg, 43%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt → AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave additional 18 (37 mg, 26%). R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) 0.2. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 1.98 (s, AcN); 3.77 (s, MeO); 3.78 (s, MeO); 3.78 (t, $J \approx 9.0$, H–C(7)); 3.96 (t, J = 8.6, irrad. at 3.78 → d, $J \approx 8.0$, H–C(6)); 4.01–4.07 (m, H–C(5)); 4.05 (dd, $J \approx 3.0$, 13.0, HC–C(5)); 4.20 (dd, J = 2.8, 13.5, HC–C(5)); 5.01 (d, J = 8.5, irrad. at 3.78 → change, H–C(8)); 7.62 (s, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 24.57 (q, COMe); 51.80 (d, C(8)); 54.75 (q, MeO); 55.70 (q, MeO); 61.68 (t, CH₂–C(5)); 64.42 (d, C(5)); 70.13, 75.12 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 115.44, 117.14 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 128.08 (d, C(3)); 134.04 (s, C(8a)); 168.54 (s, CO₂); 170.69 (s, CO₂); 170.69 (s, NHCO). FAB-MS: 375 (24, $[M + 1]^+$), 325 (69), 306 (100), 233 (35). Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{22}N_2O_9$ (374.4): C 48.13, H 5.92, N 7.48; found: C 47.87, H 5.81, N 7.41.

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Acetamido-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) indolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (22). As described for 18, with 21 (179 mg, 0.29 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 4:4:1 (4.5 ml), AcOH (0.1 ml), and 10% Pd/C (37 mg; 64 h). FC (AcOEt \rightarrow AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) gave 35 (73 mg, 72%). R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) 0.2. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 1.95 (s, AcN); 3.61 (s, MeO); 3.76 (s, MeO); 3.89–3.94 (m, H–C(5)); 3.94–3.98 (m, irrad. at 5.59 \rightarrow change, H–C(6), H–C(7)); 4.06 (dd, J = 2.5, 12.8, irrad. at 3.92 \rightarrow d, J \approx 12.8, HC–C(5)); 4.21 (dd, J = 2.4, 12.8, irrad. at 3.92 \rightarrow d, J \approx 12.8, HC–C(5)); 5.59 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.1 irrad. at 3.92 \rightarrow change, irrad. at 3.96 \rightarrow br. s, H–C(8)); 7.56 (s, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 24.57 (q, COMe); 48.08 (d, C(8)); 54.87 (q, MeO); 55.10 (q, MeO); 61.86 (t, CH₂–C(5)); 65.05 (d, C(5)); 67.83 (d), 72.49 (d, C(6), C(7)); 114.82 (s), 118.44 (s, C(1), C(2)): 128.73 (d, C(3)); 136.44 (s, C(8a)); 168.55 (s, CO₂); 168.69 (s, CO₂); 176.06 (s, NHCO). CI-MS (NH₃): 357 (43, [M + 1]⁺), 339 (11), 338 (19), 307 (28), 306 (100), 298 (17), 295 (16), 281 (18), 233 (33), 232 (14).

Dimethyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(trifluoroacetamido) indolizine-1,2-dicarboxylate (19). As described for 18, with 17 (110 mg, 0.16 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:5:1 (4 ml), and 10% Pd/C (80 mg, 32 h at 6 bar). Crystallization from EtOH/CHCl₃ (63 mg) gave 19 (45 mg, 68%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt \rightarrow AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave additional 19 (10 mg, 15%). R_{f} (AcOEt/ MeOH 10:1) 0.64. UV (MeOH): 283 (3.1). IR (KBr): 3500s (br.), 3300s, 3138m, 1728s, 1705s, 1570m, 1528m, 1450m, 1399m, 1321m, 1165m, 1095m, 1075m, 1058m, 1021w, 965w, 914w, 891w, 862w, 828w, 619w, 521w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 3.80 (s, MeO); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.90 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.4, H-C(7)); 4.03 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.4, H-C(6)); 4.07-4.15 (m, H-C(5), HC-C(5)); 4.27 (dd, J = 10.6, 2.0, HC-C(5)); 5.21 (d, J = 8.4, H-C(8)); 7.69 (s, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD): 50.70 (d, C(8)); 52.16 (q, MeO); 52.63 (q, MeO); 62.63 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 64.42 (d, C(5)); 69.48, 73.42 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 115.53, 117.08 (2s, C(1), C(2)); 127.21 (d, C(3)); 131.98 (s, C(8a)); 166.28 (s, CO₂); 167.36 (s, CO₂). ¹⁹F-NMR (D₂O): -75.34. CI-MS: 411 (100, [M + 1]⁺), 353 (31), 345 (64), 313 (23). Anal. cale. for Cr₁₅H₁₇F₃N₂O₉ (410.3): C 43.91, H 4.18, N 6.83; found: C 44.19, H 4.27, N 6.78.

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)- and (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Azido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1-carboxylate (23 and 29, resp.). a) At -20° , a soln. of 12 (200 mg, 0.324 mmol) in MeCN (8 ml), was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (200 µl, 1.52 mmol) and a soln. of TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ (416 mg, 1.6 mmol) in MeCN (0.8 ml) and stirred for 560 min at 0°. The mixture was cooled to -50° and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 160 mg, 23/29 4:1, ¹H-NMR) and HPLC (hexane/Et₂O 2:1) gave 23 (124 mg, 69%) and 29 (34 mg, 12%).

b) As described in a), but stirring for 720 min at -10° . Normal workup gave 23/29/12 4:1:4 (155 mg, ¹H-NMR, 80%).

c) As described in a), but addition of a suspension of ZnCl₂ (224 mg, 0.84 mmol) in MeCN instead of TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ and stirring for 8 h at 0°. Normal workup (\rightarrow 168 mg, 23/29 4:1, ¹H-NMR) and HPLC (hexane/Et₂O 2:1) gave 23 (124 mg, 69%) and 29 (34 mg, 12%).

d) At -25° , a soln. of **12** (25 mg, 0.040 mmol) in MeCN (1 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (25 µl, 0.19 mmol) and a suspension of ZnCl₂ (28 mg, 0.21 mmol) in MeCN (0.5 ml), stirred for 560 min at -10° , cooled to -78° and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup gave **23/29/12** 10:2:1 (18 mg, ¹H-NMR, 80%).

e) As described in d), but stirring for 480 min at 23°. Normal workup gave 23/29 4:3 (18 mg, ¹H-NMR, 80%).

f) At -78° , a soln. of **12** (50 mg, 0.081 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (50 µl, 0.38 mmol) and BF₃ · OEt₂ (25 µl, 0.28 mmol), kept for 15 min at -78° and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 36 mg, **23/29** 2:3, ¹H-NMR) and HPLC (hexane/Et₂O 2:1) gave **23** (13 mg, 29%) and **29** (21 mg, 47%).

g) As described in f), but stirring for 15 min at 0°. Normal workup gave **23/29** 1:7 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, 85%). h) As described in g), but stirring for 15 min at 23°. Normal workup gave **23/29** 1:10 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, 85%). Data of **23**: R_f (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.38. UV (CHCl₃): 241 (3.9), 259 (3.8). CD (CHCl₃): 264 (-36560). IR (CHCl₃): 3067w, 2926s, 2868m, 2104s, 1953w, 1875w, 1810w, 1699s, 1555m, 1496m, 1454m, 1364m, 1305m, 1155m, 1097s, 1028w, 912w. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.75 (dd, J = 10.2, 6.7, HC-C(5)); 3.84 (dd, J = 10.2, 4.6, HC-C(5)); 3.87 (s, MeO); 3.97 (td, J = 4.6, 0.8, H-C(6)); 4.04 (dd, J = 4.6, 2.5, H-C(7)); 4.38 (dt, J = 6.7, 4.6, H-C(5)); 4.41 (d, J = 12.8, PhCH); 4.51 (d, J = 12.8, PhCH); 4.54 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 4.58 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.72 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.74 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 5.37 (dd, J = 2.5, 0.8, H-C(8)); 6.69 (d, J = 3.3, H-C(2)); 6.83 (d, J = 3.3, H-C(3)); 7.24-7.41 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 51.19 (q, MeO); 54.88 (d, C(8)); 58.59 (d, C(5)); 70.34 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.53 (t, PhCH₂); 72.62 (t, PhCH₂); 73.35 (t, PhCH₂); 74.11, 76.97 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 110.81 (d, C(2)); 114.72 (s, C(1)); 121.10 (d, C(3)); 127.83-128.62 (several d and 1s for C(8a)); 137.19 (s); 137.35 (s); 137.51 (s); 165.00 (s, CO₂). CI-MS: 525 (23, [M + 1 - N₂]⁺), 510 (100, $[M - N_3]^+$), 493 (3, $[M - COOMe]^+$), 433 (7, $[M - N_2 - Bn]^+$), 420 (85, $[M^+ - N_3 - Bn]$), 106 (25), 91 (89, $C_7H_7^+$).

Data of **29**: R_t (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.38. UV (CHCl₃): 242 (3.9), 258 (3.8). CD (CHCl₃): 265 (16210). IR (CHCl₃): 3067w, 3008m, 2926m, 2869s, 2103s, 1699s, 1561m, 1497m, 1454m, 1364m, 1318m, 1159m, 1130s, 1128m, 989w, 914w, 872w, 841w, 610w. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.69 (dd, J = 10.4, 5.4, HC-C(5)); 3.81 (dd, J = 10.4, 2.5, HC-C(5)); 3.86 (s, MeO); 3.91 (dd, J = 9.1, 3.7, H-C(7)); 4.08 (ddd, J = 7.9, 5.2, 2.5, H-C(5)); 4.18 (dd, J = 9.1, 8.3, H-C(6)); 4.47 (s, PhCH); 4.61 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 4.78 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.90 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 5.02 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 5.72 (d, J = 3.7, H-C(8)); 6.65 (d, J = 3.3, H-C(2)); 6.87 (d, J = 2.9, H-C(3)); 7.25-7.49 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 51.19 (q, MeO); 54.18 (d, C(8)); 61.13 (d, C(5)); 69.99 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.40 (t, PhCH₂); 73.26 (t, PhCH₂); 75.29 (t, PhCH₂); 73.67, 79.38 (d, C(6), C(7)); 111.57 (d, C(2)); 113.35 (s, C(1)); 120.59 (d, C(3)); 127.83-128.69 (several d); 130.34 (s, C(8a)); 137.54 (s); 137.96 (s); 164.97 (s). CI-MS: 552 (0.4, M^+), 525 (62, $[M + 1 - N_2]^+$), 510 (100, $[M - N_3]^+$), 493 (2, $[M - COOMe]^+$), 433 (11, $[M - N_2 - Bn]^+$), 420 (18, $[M + H - N_3 - Bn]^+$), 177 (41), 106 (13), 91 (50, C₇H₇⁺).

 $\begin{array}{ll} Methyl & (5R,6R,7S,8S) + 8-Amino-6,7-bis(benzyloxy) - 5-[(benzyloxy)methyl] - 5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1-carboxylate (24). As described for 15, with 23 (150 mg, 0.271 mmol), MeOH (9 ml), Et_3 N (0.9 ml), and propane-1,3-dithiol (0.9 ml): 24 (136 mg, 97%). R_t (AcOEt) 0.52. IR (CHCl_3): 3378w, 3067w, 308m, 2950m, 2868m, 1952w, 1872w, 1811w, 1688s, 1575w, 1547m, 1496s, 1454m, 1366w, 1334w, 1305w, 1165m, 1098s, 1047m, 1028m, 930w, 632w, 606w, 514w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3): 2.79 (br. s, NH_2): 3.73 (dd, <math>J = 9.8, 7.0, \text{HC}-\text{C}(5)$); 3.84 (s, MeO); 4.13 (br. dd, $J \approx 5.0, 1.0$), 4.19 (br. dd, $J \approx 5.0, 1.6, \text{H}-\text{C}(6), \text{H}-\text{C}(7)$); 4.41 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.48 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.48 (m, H-C(5)); 4.56 (d, J = 10.3, PhCH); 4.38 -4.58 (m, H-C(8)); 4.60 (d, J = 10.6, PhCH); 4.69 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.70 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 6.61 (d, J = 2.8, H-C(2)); 6.71 (d, J = 3.1, H-C(3)); 7.20-7.39 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl_3): 47.01 (d, C(8)); 51.09 (q, MeO); 58.79 (d, C(5)); 72.33 (br. t, CH_2-C(5), PhCH_2); 72.46 (t, PhCH_2); 73.50 (t PhCH_2); 73.90, 76.72 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 110.79 (d, C(2)); 111.61 (s, C(1)); 120.19 (d, C(3)); 127.42-129.00 (several d); 137.46 (s); 138.00 (s); 138.06 (s); 138.39 (s); 166.05 (s, CO_2). \\ \end{array}

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-1-carboxylate (25). A soln. of 24 (50 mg, 0.095 mmol) in pyridine (1 ml) and Ac₂O (0.5 ml) was stirred at 23° for 2 h. Evaporation and FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) gave 25 (53 mg, 98%). Colourless solid. R_t (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.33. UV (CHCl₃): 273 (3.4). IR (CHCl₃): 346*m*, 3067*w*, 3007*s*, 2951*w*, 2870*w*, 1700*s*, 1670*s*, 1554*w*, 1497*s*, 1454*s*, 1369*m*, 1340*m*, 1309*w*, 1158*m*, 1097*s*, 1045*w*, 1028*w*, 987*w*, 910*w*. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.73 (dd, J = 9.5, 7.1, HC-C(5)); 3.77 (*s*, MeO); 3.83 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.8, HC-C(5)); 4.07 (br. *s*, H-C(6), H-C(7)); 4.40-4.61 (*m*, 2 PhC*H*, H-C(5)); 6.79 (*d*, J = 3.3, H-C(3)); 7.17-7.37 (*m*, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 23.00 (*q*, CO*Me*); 43.89 (*d*, C(8)); 51.07 (*q*, MeO); 58.91 (*d*, C(5)); 72.02 (*t*, CH₂-C(5)); 72.19 (*t*, PhCH₂); 72.43 (*t*, PhCH₂); 73.45 (*t*, PhCH₂); 73.92, 74.08 (2*d*, C(6), C(7)); 111.16 (*d*, C(2)); 113.54 (*s*, C(1)); 121.23 (*d*, C(3)); 127.35-128.75 (several *d*); 130.05 (*s*, C(8a)); 137.10 (*s*); 137.70 (*s*); 137.83 (*s*); 164.78 (*s*, CO₂); 168.30 (*s*, NHCO). EI-MS: 569 (3, [*M* + 1]⁺), 537 (2, [*M* - MeO]⁺), 460 (12, [*M* - BnOH]⁺), 417 (9), 354 (19), 311 (78), 233 (70), 205 (86), 91 (100, C₇H⁺).

 $\begin{array}{l} Methyl (5R, 6R, 7S, 8S) - 6.7 - Bis (benzyloxy) - 5 - [(benzyloxy) methyl] - 5.6, 7, 8 - tetrahydro-8 - (trifluoroacetamido) - indolizine - 1 - carboxylate ($ **26**). As described for**17**, with**24** $(50 mg, 0.095 mmol), pyridine (1 ml), and (COCF_3)_2O (0.1 ml):$ **30** $(56 mg, 98%). Colourless solid. <math>R_t$ (hexane/AcOEt 3:1): 0.32. UV (CHCl_3): 272 (3.5). IR (CHCl_3): 3226m, 3007w, 2870w, 1721s. 1528m, 1496s, 1454m, 1365w, 1304w, 1168s, 1099s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3): 3.76 (dd, J = 10.0, 7.2, HC - C(5)); 3.77 (s, MeO); 3.81 (dd, J = 10.0, 5.7, HC - C(5)); 3.96 (dd, $J = 3.9, 1.7, \text{ irrad. at } 4.58 \rightarrow d, J \approx 3.5, H - C(6)$); 4.12 (br. dd, J = 4.1, 1.5, H - C(7)); 4.45 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.51 (d, J = 10.0, PhCH); 4.54 (d, J = 10.6, PhCH); 4.55 – 4.61 (m, H – C(5)); 4.58 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.64 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.83 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 5.88 (br. dd, $J \approx 8.7, 1.5, addn. of CD_3OD \rightarrow br. s, H - C(8)$); 6.75 (d, J = 2.8, H - C(2)); 6.77 (d, $J = 8.7, exchange with CD_3OD, H - N$); 6.86 (d, J = 2.8, H - C(3)); 7.16 – 7.41 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl_3): 43.86 (d, C(8)); 51.23 (q, MeO); 58.43 (d, C(5)); 72.27 (t, CH_2 - C(5)); 72.40 (t, PhCH_2); 73.51 (br. t, 2 PhCH_2); 73.29, 74.18 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 111.57 (d, C(2)); 114.53 (s, C(1)); 121.92 (d, C(3)); 127.35 (s, CCa_3); 127.35 (several d); 136.43 (s); 137.16 (s); 137.57 (s); 155.53 (q, $J = 36.7, COCF_3$); 164.49 (s, CO_2). ¹⁹F-NMR (CDCl_3): -7.57.6. EI-MS: 622 (0.1, M^+), 591 (0.3, $[M - MeO]^+$), 317 (15), 287 (15), 270 (7), 255 (10), 91 (100, $C_7H_7^+$).

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)indolizine-1-carboxylate (27). A soln. of 25 (50 mg, 0.088 mmol) in AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:5:1 (2 ml), was treated with 10% Pd/C (40 mg), hydrogenated for 33 h at 6 bar, and filtered through *Celite*. Evaporation and crystallization from EtOH gave 27 (17 mg, 65%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave additional 27 (6 mg, 23 %). R_{f} (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) 0.20. UV (MeOH): 283 (3.3). IR (KBr): 3463s (br.), 3341s (br.), 2992m, 2947m, 2912m, 1691s, 1636s, 1575s, 1543m, 1498m, 1437m, 1384m, 1319s, 1291m, 1169m, 1134m, 1121m, 1083m, 1062s, 1030m, 1008m, 966m, 834m, 636m, 590m, 544w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 1.99 (s, AcN); 3.76 (s, MeO); 3.92 (dd, J = 7.6, 6.3, irrad. at 5.19 \rightarrow d, $J \approx$ 7.0, H–C(7)); 4.03 (dd, J = 13.2, 4.4, HC–C(5)); 4.08 (dd, J = 7.7, 6.2, H–C(6)); 4.12–4.19 (m, H–C(5), HC–C(5)); 5.19 (d, J = 6.2, H–C(8)); 6.71 (d, J = 3.4, H–C(2)); 6.97 (d, J = 3.1, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 24.56 (q, COMe); 52.09 (d, C(8)); 54.65 (q, MeO); 62.85 (t, CH₂–C(5)); 64.26 (d, C(5)); 70.33, 75.18 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 114.56 (d, C(2)); 114.98 (s, C(1)); 122.97 (d, C(3)); 135.03 (s, C(8a)); 170.30 (s, CO₂); 176.00 (s, NHCO). CI-MS: 299 (46, [M + 1]⁺), 281 (23, [M – OH]), 240 (100, [M – NHAc]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₈N₂O₆ (298.3): C 52.35, H 6.08, N 9.39; found: C 52.24, H 6.06, N 9.33.

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(trifluoroacetamido)indolizine-1-carboxylate (28). As described for 27, with 26 (55 mg, 0.088 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5: 5: 1 (2 ml), and 10% Pd/C (40 mg; 32 h). Crystallization from EtOH/CHCl₃ gave 28 (19 mg, 61%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt/MeOH 20:1) of the mother liquor gave additional 28 (7 mg, 23%). $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/MeOH 20:1) 0.42. UV (MeOH): 283 (3.6). IR (KBr): 3434s (br.), 3322s (br.), 2956m, 2503w, 2433w, 1711s, 1688s, 1564m, 1497w, 1441w, 1358w, 1289m, 1168s, 1103m, 1056w, 906w, 614w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 3.75 (s, MeO); 3.92 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.9, H−C(7)); 4.07 (dd, J = 7.5, 6.5, irrad. at 3.92 → d, J ≈ 6.5, H−C(6)); 4.09 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.1, HC−C(5)); 4.11−4.17 (m, H−C(5)); 4.22 (dd, 12.0, 2.3, HC−C(5)); 5.31 (d, J = 6.8, irrad. at 3.92 → s, H−C(8)); 6.73 (d, J = 3.4, H−C(2)); 7.01 (d, J = 3.1, H−C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 52.92 (d, C(8)); 54.68 (q, MeO); 62.19 (t, CH₂−C(5)); 64.21 (d, C(5)); 70.08, 75.34 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 114.77 (d, C(2)); 115.40 (s, C(1)); 119.14 (q, J = 252.7, CF₃); 122.98 (d, C(3)); 133.58 (s, C(8a)); 161.37 (q J = 37.0, CF₃CO); 170.03 (s, NHCOCF₃). ¹⁹F-NMR (D₂O): −74.68. Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₅F₃N₂O₆ (352.27): C 43.33, H 4.29, N 7.95; found: C 43.62, H 4.27, N 7.69.

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)- and (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Azido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-2-carboxylate (**30** and **36**, resp.). a) At -10° , a soln. of **13** (100 mg, 0.162 mmol) in MeCN (4 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (100 µl, 0.76 mmol) and a soln. of TiCl(OⁱPr)₃ (208 mg, 0.40 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 ml), kept for 4 h at 0°, cooled to -50° and poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 82 mg, **30**/36 7:3, ¹H-NMR) and HPLC (hexane/Et₂O 2:1) gave **30** (52 mg, 58%), and **36** (21 mg, 23%).

b) As described in a), but with CH₂Cl₂ instead of MeCN and cooling to -78° before pouring into the aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup (\rightarrow 84 mg, 30/36 7:3, ¹H-NMR). HPLC (hexane/Et₂O 2:1) gave 30 (55 mg, 61%) and 36 (22 mg, 25%).

c) As described in a), but stirring at 0° for 240 min. Normal workup gave 30/36 7:3 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, (85%).

d) As described in a), but stirring at 23° for 240 min. Normal workup gave 30/36 3:5 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, 85%). e) At -78° , a soln. of 13 (25 mg, 0.04 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) was treated with trimethylsilyl azide (25 µl, 0.19 mmol) and BF₃ · OEt₂ (13 µl, 0.15 mmol) and stirred for 30 min. The mixture was poured into a sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. Normal workup gave 30/36 2:3 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, 85%).

f) As described in e), but stirring at 23° for 15 min. Normal workup gave **30/36** 3:7 (19 mg, ¹H-NMR, 85%). Data of **30**: R_t (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.34. UV (CHCl₃): 244 (4.0). CD (CHCl₃): 236 (-34980), 261 (6417). IR (CHCl₃): 3068w, 3008m, 2925s, 2864m, 2105s, 1706s, 1563w, 1517m, 1497m, 1455m, 1363w, 1337w, 1284w, 1146m, 1100s, 1028w, 1005m, 909m, 834w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.72 (dd, J = 10.4, 4.7, HC-C(5)); 3.81 (s, MeO); 3.82 (dd, J = 10.4, 2.5, HC-C(5)); 3.82 (t, J = 7.4, irrad. at 3.96 $\rightarrow d, J \approx 7.0, H-C(7)$); 3.96 (t, J = 7.5, H-C(6)); 4.13 (ddd, J = 7.4, 4.4, 3.0, irrad. at 3.96 $\rightarrow dd, J \approx 4.0, 3.0, H-C(5)$); 4.44 (s, PhCH₂); 4.53 (d, J = 11.4, PhCH); 4.60 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.1, H-C(8)); 4.79 (d, J = 11.0, PhCH); 4.84 (d, J = 10.9, 2 PhCH); 6.04 (t, $J \approx 1.6, H-C(1)$); 7.19-7.37 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.41 (d, $J \approx 1.7, H-C(3)$). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 51.20 (q, MeO); 55.71 (d, C(8)); 60.31 (d, C(5)); 70.37 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.85 (t, PhCH₂); 73.39 (t, PhCH₂); 74.50 (t, PhCH₂); 73.76, 78.72 (2d, C(6), C(7)): 109.38 (d, C(1)); 116.94 (s, C(2)); 125.73 (s, C(8a)); 126.08 (d, C(3)); 128.01-128.78 (several d); 137.44 (s); 137.63 (s); 137.79 (s); 165.09 (s, CO₂). CI-MS: 553 (10, $[M + 1]^+$), 525 (13, $[M + 1 - N_3 - Bn]^+$), 325 (23), 106 (44), 91 (100, C₇H₇⁺).

Data of **36**: R_t (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.34. UV (CHCl₃): 243 (4.2). CD (CHCl₃): 236 (36210), 261 (-2797), 278 (4065). IR (CHCl₃): 3073w, 3008m, 2951m, 2924m, 2868m, 2105s, 1953w, 1876w, 1810w, 1707s, 1564m, 1516m, 1497m, 1454m, 1392w, 1363w, 1312w, 1098s, 1028w, 1004m, 913m, 838w, 610w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.68 (dd, J = 9.8, 5.1, HC-C(5)); 3.77 (dd, J = 9.7, 2.8, HC-C(5)); 3.80 (s, MeO); 3.94 (dd, $J = 7.8, 3.7, \text{ irrad. at } 4.80 \rightarrow d, J \approx 7.5, \text{H}-\text{C}(7)$); 4.13-4.18 (m, irrad. at 3.94 \rightarrow change, H-C(5), H-C(6)); 4.44 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.48 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.57 (d, J = 11.4, PhCH); 4.70 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.77 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.80 (d, $J = 3.7, \text{ irrad. at } 3.94 <math>\rightarrow s, \text{H}-\text{C}(8)$); 4.85 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 6.60 (d, J = 1.7, H-C(1)); 7.21-7.38 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.50 (d, J = 1.7, H-C(3)). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): 3.23 (dd, J = 10.2, 6.2, HC-C(5)); 3.92 (dd, J = 10.0, 4.2, HC-C(5)); 3.45 (dd, J = 8.3, 3.7, H-C(7)); 3.53 (s, MeO); 3.74 (td, J = 6.2, 4.2, H-C(5)); 3.92

 $(d, J = 12.5, PhCH); 4.01 (d, J = 12.5, PhCH); 4.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.6, H-C(6)); 4.17 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.25 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 4.33 (d, J = 11.0, PhCH); 4.44 (d, J = 3.7, H-C(8)); 4.59 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH); 6.79 (d, J = 1.7, H-C(1)); 6.99-7.22 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.55 (d, J = 1.7, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl_3): 51.24 (q, MeO); 59.23, 59.71 (d, C(5), C(8)); 68.50 (t, CH_2-C(5)); 73.45 (t, PhCH_2); 74.47 (t, PhCH_2), 74.71 (t, PhCH_2); 76.12, 81.25 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 108.88 (d, C(1)); 117.08 (s, C(2)); 124.81 (d, C(3)); 126.92 (s, C(8a)); 128.18-128.79 (several d); 137.56 (br. s, 3 C); 165.26 (s, CO_2). DCI-MS: 553 (23, [M + 1]⁺), 525 (35, [M + H - N_2]⁺), 510 (35, [M - N_3]⁺), 493 (3, [M - COOMe]⁺), 433 (7, [M - N_2 - Bn]⁺), 420 (85, [M + H - N_3 - Bn]⁺), 325 (24), 106 (37), 91 (100, C₇H₇⁺).$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Methyl} & (5R,6R,7S,8S) -8-Amino-6,7-bis(benzyloxy) -5-[(benzyloxy)methyl] -5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine -2-carboxylate ($ **31**). As described for**15**, with**30** $(120 mg, 0.217 mmol), MeOH (7 ml), Et_3N (0.7 ml), and propane-1,3-dithiol (0.7 ml; 24 h):$ **22** $(108 mg, 95%). <math>R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt) 0.51. IR (CHCl_3): 3675w, 3378w, 3067w, 3008s, 2951m, 2868m, 1951w, 1877w, 1811w, 1703s, 1602w, 1562m, 1519m, 1454m, 1363m, 1312w, 1098s, 1028w, 1004w, 914w, 834w, 610w. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): 1.81 (br. s, NH_2); 3.57 (t, J = 7.9, H-C(7)); 3.71 (dd, J = 10.3, 5.0, HC-C(5)); 3.80 (s, MeO); 3.82 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.4, HC-C(5)); 3.96 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.1, H-C(8)); 3.98 (dd, J = 8.0, 6.9, H-C(6)); 4.18 (ddd, J = 6.9, 5.0, 3.4, H-C(5)); 4.45 (s, PhCH_2); 4.58 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.75 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.85 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH); 4.91 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 6.56 (dd, J = 1.9, 1.2, H-C(1)); 7.22-7.37 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.41 (d, J = 1.9, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl_3): 45.83 (d, C(8)); 51.04 (q, MeO); 59.48 (d, C(5)); 71.48 (t, CH_2-C(5)); 72.69 (t, PhCH_2); 73.16 (t, PhCH_2); 73.35 (t, PhCH_2); 73.26, 79.13 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 106.12 (d, C(1)); 116.08 (s, C(2)); 124.91 (d, C(3)); 127.76-128.86 (several d); 133.19 (s, C(8a)); 137.64 (s); 137.83 (s); 165.35 (s, CO_2). \end{tabular}

 $\begin{array}{l} Methyl \quad (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Amino-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-2-carboxylate (37). As described for 15, with 36 (140 mg, 0.253 mmol), MeOH (8 ml), Et_3N (0.8 ml), propane-1,3-dithiol (0.8 ml; 38 h): 37 (124 mg, 93%). R_{f} (AcOEt) 0.52. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_{3}): 1.80 (br. s, NH_{2}); 3.72-3.78 (m, H_2C-C(5)); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.86 (dd, J = 6.2, 3.7, H-C(7)); 4.23-4.31 (m, H-C(5), H-C(6)); 4.35 (d, J = 3.7, H-C(8)); 4.44 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.51 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.63 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.64 (s, PhCH_{2}); 4.76 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 6.55 (t, J = 0.9, H-C(1)); 7.26-7.41 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.46 (d, J = 1.8, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_{3}): 51.04 (q, MeO); 53.43 (d, C(8)); 60.15 (d, C(5)); 69.29 (t, CH_2-C(5)); 73.32 (t, PhCH_{2}); 74.27 (t, PhCH_{2}); 74.65 (t, PhCH_{2}); 77.07, 83.89 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 106.21 (d, C(1)); 116.59 (s, C(2)); 124.08 (d, C(3)); 127.92-128.59 (several d); 133.48 (s, C(8a)); 137.45 (s); 137.54 (s); 138.02 (s); 165.26 (s, CO_2). \end{array}$

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-2-carboxylate (**32**). A soln. of **31** (50 mg, 0.095 mmol) in pyridine (1 ml) and Ac₂O (0.5 ml) was stirred for 3 h at 23°. Evaporation and FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1) gave **32** (52 mg, 97%). Colourless solid. $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.38. UV (CHCl₃): 269 (3.6). IR (CHCl₃): 3436w, 3067w, 3007s, 2869w, 1704s, 1667s, 1563w, 1509s, 1454m, 1393w, 1360w, 1096s, 1028w, 1003w, 909w. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.83 (s, AcN); 3.70 (dd, J = 10.0, 7.1, HC-C(5)); 3.78 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.2, HC-C(5)); 3.79 (s, MeO); 3.87 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.1, irrad. at 5.46 → d, J ≈ 2.5, H-C(7)); 4.09 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.3, irrad. at 4.45 → d, J ≈ 3.0, H-C(6)); 4.41 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 4.41-4.48 (m, H-C(5)); 4.49 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH); 5.46 (dd, J = 8.9, 3.1, irrad. at 3.87 → d, J ≈ 8.0, H-C(8)); 6.01 (d, J = 8.7, NH); 6.55 (dd, J = 12.0, PhCH); 5.46 (dd, J = 8.9, 3.1, irrad. at 3.87 → d, J ≈ 8.0, H-C(3)); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 23.35 (q, COMe); 44.52 (d, C(8)); 51.17 (q, MeO); 58.81 (d, C(5)); 71.62 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.59 (t, PhCH₂); 72.78 (t, PhCH₂); 73.59 (t, PhCH₂); 74.82, 75.99 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 108.88 (d, C(1)); 116.55 (s, C(2)); (15.64 (d, CG3)); 127.81 (s, C(8a)); 127.81 - 128.92 (several d); 137.27 (s); 137.82 (s); 165.44 (s, CO₂); 311 (71), 233 (55), 205 (69), 91 (100, C₂H₇⁺).

 $\begin{array}{l} Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8R) -8-Acetamido-6,7-bis(benzyloxy)-5-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroindolizine-2-carboxylate (38). Similarly as for 32, 37 (50 mg, 0.095 mmol) gave 38 (53 mg, 98%). Colourless solid. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.38. UV (CHCl₃): 270 (3.7). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.74 (s, AcN); 3.66 (dd, <math>J = 9.7, 5.3$, HC-C(5)); 3.71 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.3, HC-C(5)); 3.78 (s, MeO); 3.92 (dd, J = 6.2, 4.1, H-C(7)); 4.14 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.8, H-C(6)); 4.33 (td, J = 5.3, 2.8, H-C(5)); 4.35 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.43 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.51 (d, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.63 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.73 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH); 5.99 (br. ddd, J = 9.4, 4.1, 1.2, H-C(8)); 5.95 (d, J = 9.3, H-N); 6.44 (dd, J = 2.8, 1.2, H -C(1)); 7.19-7.40 (m, 15 arom. H, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 23.15 (q, COMe); 4.33 (d, C(8)); 51.19 (q, MeO); 59.22 (d, C(5)); 72.22 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 72.58 (t, PhCH₂); 72.93 (t, PhCH₂); 73.78 (t, PhCH₂); 72.58, 76.09 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 107.04 (d, C(1)); 116.70 (s, C(2)); 124.87 (d, C(3)); 128.29-129.18 (several d); 129.27 (s, C(8a)); 137.39 (s); 137.55 (s); 137.78 (s); 165.42 (s, CO₂); 169.84 (s, NHCO). \end{array}

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-6.7-*Bis*(*benzyloxy*)-5-[(*benzyloxy*)*methyl*]-5,6,7,8-*tetrahydro*-8-(*trifluoroacetami-do*)*indolizine-2-carboxylate* (**33**). As described for **17**, with **31** (50 mg, 0.095 mmol), pyridine (1 ml), and (CF₃CO)₂O (0.1 ml). FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:2) gave **33** (55 mg, 93%). Colourless solid. R_t (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.42. UV (CHCl₃): 271 (3.7). IR (CHCl₃): 3417*m*, 3090*w*, 3008*m*, 2952*m*, 2870*m*, 1719*s*, 1603*m*, 1564*m*, 1512*m*, 1455*m*, 1394*w*, 1364*w*, 1170*s*, 1098*m*, 1003*w*, 910*w*, 838*w*. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.70 (*dd*, J = 9.8, 7.0, HC-C(5)); 3.76 (*dd*, J = 9.8, 6.1, HC-C(5)); 3.80 (*s*, MeO); 3.86 (*dd*, $J = 4.4, 2.8, \text{irrad. at 4.16 <math>\rightarrow d$, $J \approx 2.8, \text{H}-\text{C}(7)$); 4.16 (*dd*, J = 9.8, 6.1, HC-C(5)); 3.80 (*s*, MeO); 3.86 (*dd*, $J = 4.4, 2.8, \text{irrad. at 4.16 <math>\rightarrow d$, $J \approx 2.8, \text{H}-\text{C}(7)$); 4.16 (*dd*, J = 6.5, 2.0, H-C(5)); 4.53 (*d*, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.57 (*d*, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.69 (*d*, J = 11.5, PhCH); 4.57 (*d*, J = 11.8, PhCH); 4.69 (*d*, J = 1.7, 0.8, H-C(1)); 7.17–7.39 (*m*, 15 arom. H, H–N); 7.45 (*d*, J = 1.9, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 44.41 (*d*, C(8)); 51.25 (*q*, MeO); 58.21 (*d*, C(5)); 71.73 (*t*, CH₂-C(5)); 72.62 (*t*, PhCH₂); 72.88 (*t*, PhCH₂); 73.66 (*t*, PhCH₂); 74.06, 74.97 (2*d*, C(6), C(7)); 109.99 (*d*, C(1)); 116.92 (*s*, C(2)); 125.31 (*s*, C(8a)); 126.19 (*d*, C(3)); 127.94–129.03 (several *d*); 136.53 (*s*); 137.09 (*s*); 137.69 (*s*); 165.21 (*s*, NHCOMe). ¹⁹F-NMR (CDCl₃): -75.85. EI-MS: 622 (0.4, *M*⁺), 591 (2, [*M*-MeO]⁺), 514 (4, [*M*-BnOH]⁺), 317 (6), 287 (29), 270 (7), 91 (100, C₇H⁺).

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-8-Acetamido-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)indolizine-2-carboxylate (34). As described for 27, with 32 (50 mg, 0.088 mmol), AcOEt/McOH/H 2O 5:5:1 (2 ml), 10% Pd/C (40 mg; 32 h). Crystallization from EtOH gave 34 (16 mg, 61 %). White crystals. FC (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave additional 34 (8 mg, 31 %). Off-white residue. R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 2:1) 0.42. UV (MeOH): 273 (3.6). IR (KBr): 3330s (br.), 3137s, 2991m, 2949m, 2897s, 1717s, 1680s, 1644s, 1558s, 1524s, 1445s, 1385s, 1334w, 1299m, 1113m, 1071m, 1042w, 1005m, 934w, 882m, 657w, 587w. ¹H-NMR (D,O): 2.17 (s, AcN); 3.73 (m, virtual HC-C(5); 4.24 (dd, J = 12.7, 1.6, HC-C(5)); 4.88 (dd, J = 10.0, 1.2, H-C(8)); 6.56 (dd, J = 1.6, 1.2, H-C(1)); 7.60 (d, J = 1.9, H-C(3)). ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD); 2.06 (s, AcN); 3.60 (m, virtual coupling J = 9.6, irrad. at $4.93 \rightarrow m$, virtual coupling, $J \approx 9.5$, H-C(7); 3.75 (s, MeO); 3.79 - 3.85 (m, H-C(5), H-C(6)); 3.92(dd, J = 11.4, 4.0, CH - C(5)); 4.21 (br. dd, J = 11.5, 2.0, CH - C(5)); 4.93 (dd, J = 9.6, 1.0, H - C(8)); 6.29(dd, J = 1.6, 1.2, H-C(1)); 7.60 (d, J = 1.6, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD): 22.96 (q, COMe); 51.06 (d, C(8));51.72 (q, MeO); 62.16 (t, CH₂-C(5)); 64.02 (d, C(5)); 70.81, 74.84 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 107.62 (d, C(1)); 117.42 $(s, C(2)); 125.88 (d, C(3)); 132.00 (s, C(8a)); 167.80 (s, CO₂); 174.09 (s, NHCO). CI-MS: 299 (16, <math>[M + 1]^+$), 280 $(39, [M + 1 - H_2O]^+)$, 240 (100, $[M - NHAc]^+)$. Anal. calc. for $C_{13}H_{18}N_2O_6$ (298.30): C 52.35, H 6.08, N 9.39; found: C 51.91, H 6.10, N 9.18.

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8R)-8-Acetamido-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)indolizine-2-carboxylate (**40**). As described for **27**, with **38** (50 mg, 0.088 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:5:1 (2 ml), and 10% Pd/C (40 mg, 36 h). Crystallization from EtOH gave **40** (17 mg, 65%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt/MeOH 10:1) of the mother liquor gave additional **40** (9 mg, 23%). Off-white residue. R_1 (AcOEt/MeOH 2:1) 0.42. UV (MeOH): 272 (3.4). IR (KBr): 3330s (br.), 3137s, 2991m, 2949m, 2897s, 1717s, 1680s, 1644s, 1558s, 1524s, 1445s, 1385s, 1334w, 1299m, 1113m, 1071m, 1042w, 1005m, 934w, 882m, 657w, 587w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 2.06 (s, AcN); 3.80 (s, MeO); 4.03 (dd, J = 11.7, 3.4, HC-C(5)); 4.09 (m, H-C(5)); 4.09 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.3, H-C(6)); 5.36 (br. d, J = 4.4, H-C(8)); 6.56 (dd, J = 1.9, 0.6, H-C(1)); 7.61 (d, J = 1.9, H-C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 2.477 (q, COMe); 48.31 (d, C(8)); 54.58 (q, MeO); 63.77 (1, CH₂-C(5)); 64.79 (d, C(5)); 69.81, 71.88 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 110.58 (d, C(1)); 118.29 (s, C(2)); 128.39 (d, C(3)); 132.00 (s, C(8a)); 170.68 (s, CO₂); 177.13 (s, NHCO).

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8S)-5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(trifluoroacetamido)indolizine-2-carboxylate (**35**). As described for **27**, with **33** (51 mg, 0.082 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:5:1 (2 ml), and 10% Pd/C (40 mg; 32 h). Crystallization from EtOH/CHCl₃ gave **35** (15 mg, 52%). White crystals. FC (AcOEt/EtOH 20:1) of the mother liquor gave additional **35** (8 mg, 28%). R_f (AcOEt/MeOH 20:1) 0.35. UV (MeOH): 272 (3.6). IR (KBr): 3460s (br.), 3254s, 3155m, 3100m, 2939m, 1718s, 1669s, 1564s, 1518s, 1448s, 1380s, 1322w, 1260m, 1154s, 1121w, 1094w, 1003m, 918w, 843w, 644w, 614w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 3.80 (s, MeO); 3.87 (m, virtual coupling, J = 9.7, 9.3, H–C(7)); 3.97–4.04 (m, H–C(5), H–C(6)); 4.10 (br. dd, J = 12.5, 1.5, HC–C(5)); 5.02 (d, J = 10.0, H–C(8)); 6.44 (br. d, J = 0.6, H–C(1)); 7.64 (br. d, J = 0.5, H–C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 53.05 (d, C(8)); 54.60 (q, MeO); 61.88 (t, CH₂–C(5)); 64.40 (d, C(5)); 71.21, 75.00 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 109.20 (d, C(1)); 118.68 (s, C(2)); 118.88 (q, J = 280.6, COCF₃); 127.89 (d, C(3)); 131.98 (s, C(8a)); 162.42 (q, J = 37.5, CF₃CO); 170.58 (s, NHCOCF₃). ¹⁹F-NMR (D₂O): -74.60. CI-MS: 370 (100, [$M + NH_4$]⁺), 353 (52, [M + 1]⁺), 334 (11, [$M - H_2$ O]⁺), 240 (66, [$M - NHCOCF_3$]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₅F₃N₂O₆ (352.27): C 44.33, H 4.29, N 7.95; found: C 44.37, H 4.43, N 7.73.

Methyl (5R,6R,7S,8R)5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-6,7-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(trifluoroacetamido)indolizine-2-carboxylate (41). As described for 27, with 39 (52 mg, 0.084 mmol), AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:5:1 (2 ml), and 10% Pd/C (40 mg; 36 h). Crystallization from EtOH/CHCl₃ gave 41 (18 mg, 60%). White crystals. Evaporation of the mother liquor gave additional 41 (10 mg, 33%). Off-white residue. $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/MeOH 20:1) 0.35. UV (MeOH): 271 (3.5). IR (KBr): 3444s (br.), 3275s (br.), 3130s, 2964s, 2932s, 2892m, 1682s (br.), 1556s, 1520s, 1482m, 1444m, 1373m, 1051m, 1007s, 962m, 934m, 907m, 859w, 842m, 647m, 632m, 516w. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 3.80 (s, MeO); 4.07-4.25 (m, H−C(5), H−C(6), H−C(7), H₂C−C(5)); 5.48 (br. d, $J \approx 3.5$, H−C(8)); 6.56 (dd, J = 1.9, 0.9, H−C(1)); 7.63 (d, J = 1.9, H−C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O); 49.49 (d, C(8)); 52.92 (q, MeO); 63.82 (t, CH₂−C(5)); 64.82 (d, C(5)); 69.21, 72.19 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 111.64 (d, C(1)); 118.66 (s, C(2)); 128.32 (d, C(3)); 130.33 (s, C(8a)); 170.51 (s, CO₂). ¹⁹F-NMR (D₂O): −75.07. CI-MS: 370 (6, $[M + NH_4]^+$), 353 (34, $[M + 1]^+$), 334 (100, $[M - H_2O]^+$), 303 (88), 240 (73, $[M - NHCOCF_3]^+$).

Equilibration Studies. a) A soln. of the pure azide (5 mg of 14, 23, or 30), trimethylsilyl azide (15 µl), and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (7.5 µl) in CD_2Cl_2 (0.7 ml) was kept at 23° and monitored by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy until the ratio of 14/20, 23/29, and 30/36 (as determined by integration of the H-C(8) or H-C(1) signals) showed no change (14/20 1:7 after 64 h, 23/29 1:10 after 5 min, 30/36 3:7 after 5 min).

b) A soln. of the pure azide (5 mg of 23, 29, 30, or 36), trimethylsilyl azide (10 µl), and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (5 µl) in either CH_2Cl_2 , MeCN, or toluene (0.5 ml) was stirred at 23° for 24 h. After normal workup, the ratio of *gluco*-and *manno*-configurated azide was determined by integration of the H-C(8) or H-C(1) signal in the ¹H-NMR spectrum.

Enzyme-Inhibition Studies. Determinations of the inhibition constants (K_i) were performed in the presence of 4 inhibitor concentrations which bracket the K_i value. 4-Nitrophenyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranoside (GlcNAc-Np) from *Sigma* (No. N-9376), β -N-acetylglucosaminidase (EC 3.2.1.30) from bovine kidney and jack beans from *Sigma* (No. A-2415 and A-2264, resp.) were used. The suspensions of the enzymes in 3.2M (NH₄)SO₄ (0.1 ml, 5 U), and 2.5M (NH₄)SO₄ (0.1 ml, 5 U), resp., were centrifuged, and the pellets were dissolved in H₂O. Citrate buffer (0.5M, pH 4.2, 100 µl), inhibitor soln., or H₂O (300 µl), resp., and enzyme soln. (52 mU in H₂O, 100 µl) were incubated at 37° for 5 min. After addition of GlcNAc-Np (5.0, 2.5, 1.6, 1.0, 0.5 mm in H₂O, 500 µl), incubation was continued for 3, 6, 9, or 12 min. The reaction was stopped by addition of borate buffer (0.2M, pH 9.2, 1000 µl). The amount of 4-nitrophenolate liberated was determined by measurement of the UV/VIS absorption at 400 nm and the increase of absorption per min taken, as velocity for the hydrolysis of the substrate. The K_i were determined by taking the slopes from the *Lineweaver-Burk* plots [51] and plotting them against the inhibitor concentrations [52]. After fitting the data to a straight line, the negative [I]-intercept of this plot gave the appropriate K_i values.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Koshland, Biol. Rev. 1953, 28, 1953.
- [2] T. D. Heightman, Personal Communication.
- [3] N. C. J. Strynadka, M. N. G. James, J. Mol. Biol. 1991, 220, 401.
- [4] T. D. Heightman, M. Locatelli, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 2190.
- [5] J. Sakon, W. S. Adney, M. E. Himmel, S. R. Thomas, P. A. Karplus, Biochemistry 1996, 35, 10648.
- [6] P. Ermert, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 2043.
- [7] T. Granier, N. Panday, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 979.
- [8] K. Tatsuta, S. Miura, S. Ohta, H. Gunji, J. Antibiot. 1995, 48, 286.
- [9] T. Granier, F. Gaiser, L. Hintermann, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 1443.
- [10] T. D. Heightman, P. Ermert, D. Klein, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 514.

- [11] T. Aoyagi, H. Suda, K. Uotani, F. Kojima, T. Aoyama, K. Hiroguchi, M. Hamada, J. Antibiot. 1992, 45, 1404.
- [12] B. Henrissat, Biochem. J. 1991, 280, 309.
- [13] B. Henrissat, A. Bairoch, Biochem. J. 1996, 293, 695.
- [14] A. C. Terwisscha van Scheltinga, K. H. Kalk, J. J. Beintema, B. W. Dijkstra, Biochemistry 1994, 34, 15619.
- [15] I. Tews, A. Perrakis, A. Oppenheim, Z. Dauter, K. S. Wilson, C. E. Vorgias, Nature Struct. Biol. 1996, 3, 638.
- [16] R. Hoos, A. B. Naughton, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1993, 76, 1802.
- [17] H. S. Overkleeft, J. van Wiltenburg, U. K. Pandit, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 4215.
- [18] K. Tatsuta, S. Miura, H. Gunji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 427.
- [19] E. C. K. Lai, S. G. Withers, Biochemistry 1994, 33, 14743.
- [20] L. D. Hall, C. W. M. Grant, Carbohydr. Res. 1972, 24, 218.
- [21] P. Meindl, G. Bodo, P. Palese, J. Schulmann, H. Tuppy, Virology 1974, 58, 457.
- [22] Y. Nishimura, T. Kudo, S. Kondo, T. Takeuchi, J. Antibiot. 1994, 47, 101.
- [23] H. R. Synder, E. L. Eliel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 1703.
- [24] B. C. Ennis, G. Holan, E. L. Samuel, J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1967, 30.
- [25] G. Holan, E. L. Samuel, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1967, 25.
- [26] J. G. Buchanan, S. J. Moorhouse, R. H. Wightman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 2258.
- [27] L. H. Foley, G. Büchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1776.
- [28] R. Breslow, J. T. Hunt, R. Smiley, T. Tarnowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5337.
- [29] J. G. Buchanan, A. Millar, R. H. Wightman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1985, 1425.
- [30] M. P. Sammes, 'Physical and theoretical aspects synthesis and chemical reactivity of 2H- and 3H-Pyrroles', Wiley, New York, 1990, p. 549.
- [31] S. A. Patil, B. A. Otter, R. S. Klein, Nucleosides Nucleotides 1990, 9, 937.
- [32] A. D. Abell, J. C. Litten, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3005.
- [33] R. A. Barcock, N. A. Moorcroft, R. C. Storr, J. W. Young, L. S. Fuller, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1187.
- [34] C.-A. Harrison, R. Leineweber, C. J. Moody, J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8527.
- [35] C.-A. Harrison, R. Leineweber, C. J. Moody, J. M. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 1127.
- [36] T. J. Bond, J. R. P. C. Taylor, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9263.
- [37] S. Harusawa, Y. Murai, H. Moriyama, H. Ohishi, R. Yoneda, T. Kurihara, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3165.
- [38] C.-Y. Chen, D. R. Liebermann, L. J. Street, A. R. Guiblin, R. D. Larsen, T. R. Verhoeven, Synth. Commun. 1996, 26, 1977.
- [39] Y. Xu, K. Yakushijin, D. A. Horne, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9569.
- [40] S. Harusawa, Y. Murai, H. Moriyama, T. Imazu, H. Ohishi, R. Yoneda, T. Kurihara, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4405.
- [41] L. F. Tietze, G. Kettschau, K. Heitmann, Synthesis 1996, 851.
- [42] V. Lee, M.-K. Cheung, W.-T. Wong, K.-F. Cheng, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 9455.
- [43] J. Moyroud, J. Gelin, A. Chêne, J. Mortier, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8525.
- [44] S. D. Lindell, C. G. Earnshaw, B. J. Wright, D. S. Carver, M. J. O'Mahony, E. A. Saville-Stones, *Bioorg. Me*dicinal Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 547.
- [45] S. Harusawa, H. Moriyama, Y. Murai, T. Imazu, H. Moriyama, H. Ohishi, R. Yoneda, T. Kurihara, H. Hata, Y. Sakamoto, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 45, 53.
- [46] M. D. Cliff, S. G. Pyne, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1023.
- [47] H. Bayley, D. N. Standring, J. R. Knowles, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 39, 3633.
- [48] V. A. Timoshuk, L. N. Kulinkovisch, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1988, 58, 1019.
- [49] P. Ermert, Synthese und Evaluation übergangszustandsanaloger β-Glycosidasehemmer, Ph.D. Thesis ETH-Zürich No. 11407, 1996.
- [50] F. Mohamadi, N. G. J. Richards, W. C. Guida, R. Liskamp, M. Lipton, C. Caufield, G. Chang, T. Hendrickson, W. C. Still, J. Comput. Chem. 1990, 400.
- [51] H. Lineweaver, D. Burk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1934, 56, 658.
- [52] I. H. Segel, 'Enzyme Kinetics', Wiley, New York, 1975.